

## Title

Bringing Creative Psychotherapies to Primary NHS Mental Health Services in the UK: A Feasibility Study on Patient and Staff Experiences of Arts for the Blues Workshops Delivered at Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) services

## Authors:

Prof Vicky Karkou, [karkouv@edgehill.ac.uk](mailto:karkouv@edgehill.ac.uk), Research Centre for Arts and Wellbeing, Edge Hill University, UK, corresponding author

Dr Joanna Omylinska-Thurston, [joanna.omylinskathurston@gmmh.nhs.uk](mailto:joanna.omylinskathurston@gmmh.nhs.uk), Greater Manchester, Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, UK

Ailsa Parsons [a.s.parsons@salford.ac.uk](mailto:a.s.parsons@salford.ac.uk), Department of Psychology, University of Salford, UK

Kerry Nair [kerry.nair@gmmh.nhs.uk](mailto:kerry.nair@gmmh.nhs.uk), Greater Manchester, Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, UK

Jennifer Starkey [lewisj@edgehill.ac.uk](mailto:lewisj@edgehill.ac.uk), Research Centre for Arts and Wellbeing, Edge Hill University, UK

Shelly Haslam, [haslamsh@edgehill.ac.uk](mailto:haslamsh@edgehill.ac.uk), CAMHs and IAPT Lead, Edge Hill University, UK

Dr Scott Thurston, [s.thurston@salford.ac.uk](mailto:s.thurston@salford.ac.uk), School of Arts and Media, University of Salford, UK

Dr Linda Dubrow Marshall, [l.dubrow-marshall@salford.ac.uk](mailto:l.dubrow-marshall@salford.ac.uk), Department of Psychology, University of Salford, UK

## Abstract

- Abstracts of 250 words are required for all manuscripts submitted.

There have been several arguments for the need to generate evidence-based creative forms of psychological interventions in Improving Access to Psychological Services (IAPT), the main primary mental health provider in hospitals in England, UK.

In this feasibility study, we sought to identify helpful and unhelpful factors of a new creative group psychotherapy, titled Arts for the Blues. We also wanted to find out whether the research tools used were acceptable and sensitive. We therefore engaged a group of 7 patients attending an IAPT service in the North West, and a group of 6 staff working in the same service attended one creative workshop each followed by a focus group. The two focus groups were transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis. We also collected pre and post measures on depression (PHQ9), anxiety (GAD7), measures used in IAPT services along measures on wellbeing (WHO-5), PANAS and goal-setting that were considered for acceptability and sensitivity.

We received largely positive responses from service users and staff in the use of creative methods in psychotherapy. Although the measures used had limitation due to the short duration of one-off creative workshops, we found that they were sensitive enough, easy to complete, and thus were acceptable.

We concluded that Arts for the Blues is a promising intervention in IAPT, especially since it is shaped by service users and staff working in these services. Further work is needed to establish the effectiveness of this new intervention.

Key words (4-6): creativity, psychotherapy, evidence-based, IAPT, primary care, feasibility

## Introduction

Depression globally affects more than 280 million people. It is a leading cause of disability worldwide and a major contributor to the overall global burden of disease (WHO, 2021). In the UK, depression affects 4.5% of people and is one of the leading causes of disability (NICE, 2021). It is the most common mental health condition, which often brings people in contact with primary care mental health services within the National Health Service (NHS). . In England this mainly involves the provision of cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), an intervention that relies largely on people talking about their problems and re-adjusting mal-adaptive thoughts. However, Omylinska-Thurston et al (2019) found that thinking and talking are not always relevant to the diverse populations that primary care in England serve. People from different socio-economic, educational or ethnic backgrounds, those whose English may be limited and those with learning difficulties may find CBT unhelpful. As a result, it is not surprising that the dropout rates from Improving Access to Psychological Services (IAPT), the main primary care provider of psychological support, are high, reaching 63% in 2021 (NHS Digital 2021). Still however, within IAPT services, forms of psychotherapy that rely less on talking and more on non-verbal communication such as arts psychotherapies remain largely unexplored.

Arts psychotherapies, otherwise known as arts therapies or creative arts therapies, is a generic term used to refer to music, art, drama and dance movement psychotherapy, forms of psychotherapy that draw on the arts and creativity. Art, drama and music psychotherapies are regulated by the Health Professions Council (HCPC), while dance movement psychotherapists are registered under the UK Council for Psychotherapy (UKCP) (Karkou and Sanderson 2006). There are also other forms of psychotherapy that use creative methods as a way of communicating, exploring and processing issues that may integrate the use of different forms of arts and creativity in psychotherapy such as expressive arts therapies (Rogers 1997) and integrative arts therapy (Ross 2012), all of which will be referred to collectively hereafter as creative psychotherapies. Karkou and Sanderson (2006) have defined these interventions as:

“... the creative use of the artistic media as a vehicle for non-verbal and/or symbolic communication, within a holding environment encouraged by a well-defined client-therapist relationship, in order to achieve personal and/or social therapeutic goals appropriate for the individual.” (p.48)

As the above definition suggests, although verbalisations are possible, creative psychotherapists tend to communicate difficulties non-verbally and symbolically through the artistic media and creativity. They also pay attention to the emotional and social aspects of this communication alongside cognitive elements. As a result, when arts making is encouraged within an agreed therapy contract, in an established relationship and in the presence of a qualified therapist, it can offer not only an

opportunity for expressing one's distress but it also means to work through some of the distressing issues and thus, achieving a resolution useful to the client.

A recent publication by Millard et al (2021) reports that over 60% of service users and the general public participating in a national survey would like to see creative forms of psychological interventions available when they contact services. However, creative psychotherapies are often offered in a very patchy way despite the growing evidence and associated guidelines that recommend these interventions for different mental health concerns. For example, two Cochrane Reviews in dance movement psychotherapy (Meekums et al 2015) and music therapy (Aalbers 2017) indicate that, despite methodological limitations, these creative psychotherapies can have positive impact on symptoms of depression. Still, within primary care and IAPT services in particular, creative psychotherapies remain largely unavailable. The new draft NICE guideline for depression (due to be published summer 2022) suggests that a wider option of psychological therapies should be made available to meet the psychological needs of diverse populations. The need to provide research evidence of the effectiveness of creative psychotherapies within this context is, therefore, essential before these interventions are recommended as a therapy choice within primary care.

Following the Medical Research Council (MRC) (2006) guidelines for complex interventions and its recent update (MRC/NIHR 2021), we have embarked in a journey of developing the Arts for the Blues model, a new evidence-based, pluralistic, structured group intervention for depression (Karkou et al 2022). As an evidence-based practice, it is based on identifying helpful and unhelpful factors from published literature, mainly patient reports but also randomised controlled trials in psychological interventions already recommended for primary care psychological services (CBT, counselling for depression, short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy; NICE 2009) and creative psychotherapies for depression (Parsons et al 2019). Unlike other structured interventions commonly available in primary care in the NHS in England, the Arts for the Blues model consists of key ingredients that are delivered flexibly through arts-based activities including drama, visual art, dance, music and creative writing (Omylinska-Thurston et al 2020). Following the pluralistic tradition (Cooper and McLeod 2011), it is goal-oriented and draws on CBT, person-centred, psychodynamic and integrative psychotherapy. As a group intervention it draws on group theory and Yalom (1983) in particular, while retains an overall relational character.

In this paper we cover a key aspect of the process of developing this innovative model and establishing its acceptability within primary care mental health services.. More specifically, we asked the following two research questions:

1. What are the helpful and unhelpful factors of creative group workshops according to the service users and staff in an IAPT service in the North West?
2. How acceptable and sensitive are the research tools used in the study?

In order to answer these questions, the following methodology was adopted.

## Methodology

For this early development phase (MRC, 2006), and given the research questions above, we conducted a feasibility study (Orsmond and Cohn 2015), which attempted to both refine the model as well as establish the acceptability of the model and the research methods used. Overall, it followed a mixed methods approach (Creswell et al, 2004) with a strong qualitative character that allowed the research team to explore the service users' and staff's experiences. The involvement of service users and staff when novel interventions are introduced to a service is not new. Anderson et al (2019) for example, have involved patients and staff in their development of a stepped care mental health service in Australia. Similarly, introducing mental health interventions in primary care in Sri Lanka (Siriwardhana et al 2016) and in Nigeria (Gureje et al 2015) have involved participatory research with staff to inform their new interventions. In our study, it was seen as important that service users and staff were offered a creative group workshop based on early versions of this model as a taster of the full intervention. This allowed us to refine the development of the Arts for the Blues model and establish its acceptability within primary care services in the NHS.

We decided to facilitate two creative workshops, one with service users (n=7) and another with staff (n=6), followed by a focus group in each case. This way we were able to capture service users' and staff's experiences of the Arts for the Blues model. Quantitative measures commonly used in primary care services were also employed to measure depression and anxiety (PHQ9 and GAD7), alongside measures of wellbeing (WHO5) and positive/negative affect (PANAS) before and immediately after each workshop. Each participant also set a personal goal before the workshop and the degree to which it was reached by the end of the workshop was captured numerically.

### Participants:

Suitable participants (service users and staff) (defined by the inclusion and exclusion criteria below) were identified from within IAPT. Service users were recruited by IAPT Therapists (High Intensity Therapists HITs/CBT Therapists, Counsellors and Mental Health Practitioners MHPs). Staff could self-refer. The research was advertised in the service's internal mail so practitioners could get in touch with the researchers in regard both their interest in them participating in the study as well as referring service users. The pathway of recruitment involved the practitioners asking identified service users if they wanted to be involved in the research. Details of service users who were interested were passed on to researchers who send them Participants' Information Sheet (PIS) and a Reply Slip to send/email back if they wished to be contacted to discuss the research. Participants who wanted to discuss the research further were contacted and if they agreed to take part in a workshop/ focus group the initial assessment dates were given. The Consent Form was signed prior to the initial assessment. During initial assessment the following areas were discussed: inclusion/ exclusion criteria, research project including workshop/ focus group, risk issues, previous therapy including groupwork and working with creative approaches, personal motivation for taking part in

the research, medication, brief psychiatric history and expectations for the workshops. A brief creative activity was used to ascertain if the participant was able to engage in creative therapy. If the assessment session went well and the participant wanted to take part in the workshop/ focus group the details of time and place were given. The participants were given as much time as they need (minimum 24 hours) to consider participation and they could withdraw at any point (prior to workshop/ focus group).

Inclusion/ exclusion criteria:

Those asked to take part were 18 years old or more and were current or past clients of IAPT, IAPT Practitioners and other psychological therapists working in the Trust. Clients had to be able to communicate in English.

At the point of recruitment, the exclusion criteria were:

- Service users/ staff who were psychologically severely distressed to take part in the workshop and focus group
- Service users/ staff who were at risk of harming self and others
- Service users/ staff who regularly made heavy or dependent use of alcohol or other recreational drugs
- Service users/ staff who were having psychotic experiences
- Service users/ staff who had any condition which would make the research workshop and focus group in itself very problematic, such as significant dissociation, severe social anxiety, paranoia, difficulties in comprehension, difficulties in emotional regulation, severe personality disorder, severe depression
- Service users/staff who were too physically unwell to attend the focus group or workshop.

*[Table 1: Around Here]*

Ethical issues:

The study has received ethical approval by the Research Ethics Committee of Edge Hill University and by NHS Health Research Authority. All participants were informed that any personal data that had been collected would be stored confidentially and any published results would not reveal their identity. However, confidentiality could be breached if a risk of harm to the self or others was apparent. All participants received information regarding the research (PIS), as well as the dissemination of findings. No financial or other inducements were offered. The participants were not coerced to participate and they could withdraw at any point prior to workshop and focus group. The second phase of the study involved experiential workshops and the focus groups. The workshop and focused group were recorded using digital means and transcribed. Photographs of artwork/ creations were taken. All identifiable data were anonymised, locked in a secure cupboard within Edge Hill University or kept on a password-protected

server. The collected data will be stored for ten years, unless requested otherwise, and destroyed after this time confidentially. An informed consent was sought for those participating in the workshop and focus groups. The workshops and focus group were not intended to be therapy, however, these sessions had the potential to have a therapeutic effect. If a participant became distressed in the given situation, or unmet clinical need was apparent, the researchers were clinically qualified (the facilitators were qualified as a counselling psychologist and a counsellor, there was an arts therapist and two nurses, one was a mental health nurse alongside their research roles), and were able to respond appropriately including discussing relevant referral.

#### Workshop:

Following an initial set up and contracting, the content of the 90-minute session was structured with a beginning, a middle and an end which was outlined to the participants.

**Beginning:** As a warm up, participants were encouraged to explore their feeling state using words and body expressions. A realistic and appropriate goal to work on for the workshop was identified and rated. The goal was explored creatively checking for where it may be felt in the body. Connecting with the body and embodied emotions were practised using creative methods such as body scan, use of images and colours.

**Middle:** Participants were invited to explore their goal using a flow of creative methods which were guided and explained. These involved the use of movement and props; the use of art making using a variety of art materials and creative writing. After the activities, participants were supported to reflect on their work with another participant (guidance was given for this).

**End:** Participants were encouraged to share their reflections in a larger group if they wanted to. They were asked to go back and re-rate their goal. The workshop closed with a creative activity inviting the participants to share how the session was for them. This creative activity took a form of a group drawing, a body sculpture or a musical arrangement.

#### Focus group:

Focus groups were used as a way of exploring the central topics of our study (ie development of the model and acceptability of the intervention and the research methods used) (Morgan and Krueger 1998) and as a “collective sense-making in action” (Wilkinson 1998, p. 181).

During the focus group the participants were invited to share thoughts about the workshop through (i) a creative activity (ii) a group discussion.

For the creative activity, participants were asked to consider how they found the workshop and to share their experiences through, for example, individual or group drawings or poetic language.

The discussion included some questions on the following topics:

- How did you find the workshop?
- What was helpful about the workshop and why?
- What was unhelpful about the workshop and why?
- Which aspects of the workshop helped you get closer to your goal?
- Which aspects of the workshop did you find were not helpful to reach your goal?
- Is there anything you would like to have done more of in the workshop? Why?
- Do you feel there was anything missing from the workshop?
- What was your experience of completing the measures?
- Is there anything else that you would like to share?
- What was it like to participate in this focus group?

All participants were invited to speak, and varied views were encouraged in order to reduce group reporting effects. All views were welcomed and considered.

Measures:

The following measures were used before and after each workshop, the first two routinely used in primary care mental health services in the UK:

PHQ9: Nine items, each of which is scored 0 to 3, providing a 0 to 27 severity score.

GAD7: The higher the score the higher the anxiety. Total score for the seven items ranges from 0 to 21

WHO-5: The total raw score, ranging from 0 to 25, is multiplied by 4 to give the final score, with 0 representing the worst imaginable wellbeing and 100 representing the best imaginable wellbeing. The higher the score, the higher the feeling of wellbeing and quality of life

PANAS: 20-point scale that consists of different words that describe feelings and emotions (Min 10 and Max 50). A 5-point Likert scale is then used for scoring. Positive scale: higher scores representing higher levels of positive affect.

Personal goal attainment: the goal was marked on a scale of 1-10 with a higher score suggesting one being closer to achieving their goal.

All measures are self-completed measures. Unlike PHQ9 and GAD7, commonly used within primary care services in England, we decided to include the WHO-5 because it focuses on perceived well-being rather than symptoms of distress. Similarly, we included the PANAS measure as a tool to establish both positive and negative affect. PHQ9, GAD7 & WHO-5 are retrospective measures that capture long term change, inherent in the wording of the questions (“...over the last 2 weeks”) and the response options (“Several days/More than half the days” “More than half of the time” etc). Unlike these three measures, PANAS captured perceived affect at the time of its completion. So, did the non-standardised personal goal attainment scale that was introduced in the beginning of the workshop and revisited towards the end.

Analyses:

The focus groups were transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis (Braun and Clarke 2006), a process that involved five steps: becoming familiar with the data through reading and re-reading the transcripts, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes and defining themes.

Acceptability was established through questions asked during the focus group

Although inferential statistics were not calculated as samples were too small, sensitivity of each of the tools used to pick up change were established through descriptive statistics.

Capturing the participants’ acceptability of the model and measures as well as sensitivity of the measures was important in terms of feasibility of the study.

## Findings

Service users:

For service users, the creative workshop offered opportunities for active engagement, learning skills, developing relationships and expressing emotions.

On the whole, the fact that the arts were used to encourage **emotional expression** (“Freedom to express yourself” P7, 8) was received very positively by participants. They found the experience of attending this workshop very different from participating in other arts and crafts classes where the focus was on the art product. Even though more sessions were needed to allow them “to feel at ease” (P6, 25), they saw an opportunity through the use of the arts to not only explore emotions of distress, but also to have fun (“Fun is important” P1, 97).

Participants also suggested that **learning skills**, and practical skills in particular, was important. One participant suggested that their confidence could grow if they acquired some skills: “Need practical course to teaching art as people think they are not good enough” (P2, 237). It is possible that this was linked with the fact that they were not totally clear about what to expect: one assumed it was going to be an art class (“Expectation that you are going be taught art (P6, 232)), while others assumed that the

workshop was going to involve visual art as therapy only and not a combination of different arts modalities (“Perception it was art therapy (P2, 29) (P1, 29) (P1, 42) (P3, 44)). Either way the supportive role of the therapist was apparent: “May need some encouragement when you feel held back from experimenting” (P6, 174) and “(Therapist) needs to help people feel comfortable with making marks” (P6, 177).

Despite the need to adjust their expectations whilst in the workshop, there were participants who commented on how helpful it was to **actively engage** with the different art forms. One highlighted how much they enjoyed the “opportunity to move during the body scan rather than sitting” (P4, 76), another talked about mark making (“Happy with simple mark making” (P7, 4)). However, active engagement did not come without its challenges. Although there were participants who valued that “nobody judged what you did” (P7, 4), some participants felt that they were “put on the spot” ((P6, 17) (P2, 29)). For example, one participant reminded us that “focusing on the body and physical symptoms [can be] stressful for [an] anxious person” (P4, 76). Another talked about “not understanding the reason for gesturing” (P7, 108) and expressed a desire for further explanations (P6, 118). On the opposite side of the spectrum, there were participants who thought that there was: “Too little movement, you can’t jump up, gesturing too small” (P3, 122) and there was “Not enough time for art” (P2, 29) (P7, 64). Several expressed their desire for the workshop to move further to include a range of art forms and proposed the use of music, photography, poetry and painting to music as additional ways in which people could express themselves ((P3, 85) (P2, 243) (P3, 244) (P1, 97)). There was also a suggestion that there could be a goal and a project they worked towards (P4, 160); e.g., gardening where you see growth over time (P3, 162)

The last theme that emerged from the participants’ focus group was the value in **developing relationships**. Talking after creative activities and discussions in the group consolidated the development of relationships ((P6,11) (P6, 17) (P2, 29)). Some were ready to start the creative part sooner; they found that there was “too much talking at the beginning” (P2, 29) (P7, 58). However, as one participant described the experience this was a “small group and intimate atmosphere” (P3, 95; 100) and as such there was considerable value in “sharing with somebody sitting opposite to you at the large table, feeling close and connected” (P3, 95).

In terms of the **duration** of the workshop, they were different views: one was “happy with the amount of time” (P, 131), another felt that there was “A lot to cram in” (P1, 70), while a third found that “It would be helpful to have more sessions in the future as the main issue with therapy is that it ends” (P6, 218) and “... you lose all the good habits” (P6, 220).

From the participant responses it was clear that the **environment and the materials** used were important and needed attention. We held the service users workshop and focus group in a small project within a church hall. However, when we arrived we found that the large room we initially booked for our research was not available. We were asked to use a smaller room that was normally used for arts and crafts but as two

participants pointed out the chairs were not as comfortable (P3, 81) and the light was “terrible” leading to migraines (P3, 81) (P7, 253) and stress (P3, 81) (P7, 256). Although, on the whole, the service users were very understanding of the situation the physical environment and the material appeared important to them. For example, another participant pointed out that she would have preferred a large table, a bigger paper (P2, 29) and a wider variety of materials (P2, 35).

On the whole, most participants found their experience positive: “it was interesting” (P5, 131), “Enjoyed coming” (P7, 256), “Great to see other people” (P7, 261), “Would like more of this” (P7, 261). They felt that it was “Good to have space for creative activities” (P4, 146) and these activities were “important in the NHS” (P7, 212). The need to research them thoroughly and offer choice in hospital treatment programmes was also highlighted. One particular, for example, argued that creative approaches need to be “trialled” (P6, 216) in order “to choose which approach is right for you” (P6, 216), a position also echoed by others (P7, 231). Similarly, participants argued for the need to have access to creative approaches to therapy through GP surgeries: “Structured creative therapy for depression should be prescribed by GP (P6, 272) for people who can’t walk and also can’t do art by themselves (P3, 276), for people working full time (P4, 167), encouraging men to attend (P7, 188) (P6, 192) (P3, 193) and different age groups (P3, 191).

In all cases, there was perceived value of these approaches because of the non-verbal character of this work: “It is good for people who can’t express themselves verbally; it is lacking in the NHS” (P2, 241). Comparisons with verbal therapies were also made. One participant argued that creative therapy more useful because “in talking therapy you go over and over the same thing and nothing changes” (P4, 150). There was a plea for more: “Please push for more of this but with more art and better environment” (P7, 266) “with better atmosphere” (P3, 269).

Despite the difficulties encountered with the room, in all the measures used before the after the workshop, there were changes in a favourable direction of the intervention. As Table 2 shows post scores for both PHQ9 and GAD7 were decreased indicating a perceived decrease in depressive symptoms and anxiety respectively. PANAS showed that there was a small increase of the positive feelings post workshop and a small decrease in negative feelings at the same time. It was however the WHO-5 measure that picked up the largest change with 13.3 points increase in the mean score of wellbeing after the workshop.

*[Table 2: Around here]*

Six out of the seven participants were able and willing to complete all the pre-post **measures**. One completed the personal goals attainment only as this participant arrived late and missed the initial measures part of the workshop. Another participant suggested that they would have liked to have been given explanations of what the pre and post scores meant (P1, 66).

Staff:

The six staff participating in the study were happy to talk about the **therapeutic framing** of the work in the first instance. They found helpful that “things were framed as invitation so there isn’t a pressure to do it; [this is] helpful for people with depression due to negative thoughts” (P3, 26). One found that “setting the stage” was “too risk averse” (P2, 931) which had the danger for the “mood dropping in the group” (P2, 945) – “it sets the tone that it is dangerous” (P2, 951). The same person suggested that it is “useful to present the artwork as challenging but fun and opening” (P2, 951). They also offered useful suggestions in practising in between sessions: “Would be useful to do practices between sessions” (P4, 876) such as: “movement” or “drawing demonstrating your mood” (P4, 861), “drawing your movement” (P4, 883); “diary” (P5, 869); “mindfulness” (P3, 888). Participant 4 suggested that engaging in tasks in between sessions “maintains connection to the group” (P4 866), while Participant 3 suggested that it “keeps expressive part alive”, supporting service users to engage in “practice they can take beyond the group” (P3 886).

They also commented on the use of the **goal**: “Small goal [was] helpful for the workshop” (P6, 108). One suggested that she needed more time: “Did not feel settled in the body to notice where the goal was located in the body” (P4, 385), but found that it is important to come back to the goal at the end when working with people with depression as “evidence that this is working (e.g., body feels more settled, I feel better)” (P4, 407). She also added that this was particularly relevant because “people with depression often think ‘it is not going to make any difference’” (P4, 439).

Staff participating in the workshop also found several components of the work helpful, identified areas that needed further work and made useful suggestions. Their comments were thematically grouped under active engagement, learning skills, expressing emotions and developing relationships.

Regarding **active engagement** they commented on the movement work, the art and the combination with talking. Similar to comments from service users, they found it useful that the body scan was done in motion “Glad to stand up for the body scan as it helped to move around” (P3, 308). Offering choice on whether people wanted to stand or sit was flagged up by another as a further consideration for the facilitators (“Asking to stand up during body scan rather than giving a choice and then others were standing so it was more difficult to sit down (P6, 271)) because “people with depression might prefer to follow rules and might be people pleasing” (P, 283). Summarising on her experience of the use of movement, Participant 3 suggested that “Movement [is] good for working with depression – uplifting and moving away from comfort zone” (P3 313).

Value was also placed on the use of drawing: “Drawing is private and safe; nobody knows what it is” (P4, 698). However, one of the participants warned that “Pressure to having to come up with an image leading to not being able to do this and feelings of inadequacy” (P6, 116), while another suggested that any discomfort can be visualised in

the art form: "Use art to picture this; acknowledge this rather than avoid it" (P2, 185). Combining the two modalities was regarded as helpful too. For this participant, whilst she was drawing, "shifting positions helped to relax" (P4 206). The same participant however also warned that "people with depression may struggle with engaging initially" (P4, 206).

In all cases, the need to support service users to engage and **learn** relevant **skills** was introduced with particular reference to being used with the use of movement. In the first instance, one of the participating staff argued that "Closing eyes was helpful not to feel conscious to be viewed by others" (P3, 374) and "helps with feeling connected to own space" (P3, 383). She suggested that "It can feel a threat if you said to people you are doing to be doing movement in the next hour" (P3, 228). Another suggested that "movement can be odd and scary" (P6, 111), while a third suggested that movement can trigger make people to think that "'I can't do this' as opposed to being free and open" (P4, 198). Other suggestions included the introduction of a "nice physical ice breaker" (P4, 1075) such as "[saying] your name with movement" (P6, 1081) which can take the form of one's name, being shy and covering their face (P6, 1088).

Using visual arts to **express emotions** were also discussed. Participant 6 suggested that "Art can be freeing and allow inner child to come out" (P6, 140), while another warned that "When drawing you feel out of control and if you go with that process you may end up feeling vulnerable" (P3, 249).

Combining art forms was also highly valued by participants who argued that combining movement with art can support the expression of feelings ("Moving the experience 'I can't do it' and drawing it could be a movement in itself and then talk about it; helps in expression of feelings" (P4, 220)). Even more help for expressing feelings was a combination of moving drawing and talking ((P4, 220) (P1, 609)).

Supporting new groups to "explain how they are feeling and building trust" (P6, 678) is flagged up by one of the participants, whilst another proposed that "Being an observer with benign curiosity, not intruding or wanting to know more, is a good model for working with depression" (P4, 727). At the same time, another participant flags up the value of verbal sharing: "Sharing is really important for people with depression, empowering, as it might be the only conversation they had all day, being seen" (P2, 720). For this to happen they need to "[create] a safe space for people is important so they share comfortably with each other; they are likely to come back if it feels safe" (P5, 641). Participant 4 argues that this can be done more easily if they shared first in pairs before they come back to the whole group (P4, 713). In all cases however, it is important that they share only what and when they feel comfortable to share and they have permission not to share verbally at all (P6, 662, 668).

The final theme that has been discussed by the staff participating in this focus group was the **development of relationships**. Their discussion involved mainly pair work bringing to the foreground that "learning that somebody has similar feelings helps in

feeling less alone" (P5, 631), a discovery that is particularly relevant for people with depression. During the workshop, they flagged up the limited time they had to share with another ((P6, 770) (P1, 765) (P5, 772) (P4, 774)) and proposed that it could have been easier to share with one person 'that was all right' rather than sharing in front of others" (P6, 678).

The final topic that participants discuss in this focus group was the use of measures. Some claimed that the IAPT measures i.e. PHQ9 and GAD7 felt "like a bit of constraint as sleep and appetite would not change in one workshop" (P3, 566) with others agreeing to this (P6, 577). They also argued that these measures can be meaningful over 12 sessions (P3, 571). One of the participants commended on PANAS suggesting that it was "more meaningful to measure feeling states than GAD7/ PHQ9" (P4, 414). In all cases there were arguments that service users can take copies of these measures home as a reminder that you felt better as with depression memory is affected (P2, 535) (P2, 541). "It is an evidence and you can't deny it" (P2, 546). Other participants suggested that one can chart your own progress: "you can see shifts and changes" (P4, 552) and "countering the negative thinking" (P3, 560).

Despite the limitations in the use of these measures in this context, the participants' own scores also changed for all measures as shown in Table 3.

*[Table 3. around here]*

There was a reduction of symptoms of depression on PHQ9 and anxiety in GAD7. There was also a decrease of scores on the negative feelings on the PANAS measure and an increase of positive feelings. Similarly, there was an increase of scores on the WHO-5 measure that captured wellbeing. Two of the scores stand out in this case: the decrease of anxiety post-workshop on GAD7 by 3.5 points and the decrease of negative feelings post workshop on the PANAS measure by 5.2. It is also worth noting that there was a considerable change in achieving the workshop goal of 3.3 points.

## **Discussion**

This early work in identifying helpful and unhelpful factors of the creative workshop offered at an IAPT service in the North West of England highlighted a few important components that contributed to the development of the Arts for the Blues model. As a model that encouraged participants with depression to '**actively engage**' in artmaking processes, it was received positively by both service users and staff for the vitality it generated. Since people with depression are often perceived as lacking vitality and energy (NHS, 2022), 're-charging one's batteries' is an important first stage before any further work can take place. The relationship between active engagement in artmaking and vitality has been discussed in the published literature. Karkou et al (2019), for example, talk about embodiment within dance movement psychotherapy and argue that active engagement in movement creates immediate emotional shifts and generates

vitality for people with depression. De Witte et al (2021) also refer to vitality as a byproduct of embodiment, an important therapeutic factor for all forms of creative psychotherapy. Similarly, Haire and MacDonald (2021) talk about 'humour' in music therapy, Persons (2009) about enjoyment in art therapy and Koch et al (2007) about joy in dance movement therapy, both prerequisites and outcomes of active engagement in artmaking. Encouraging active engagement is one of the key ingredients identified in the Arts for the Blues model (Omylinska-Thurston et al, 2020). Links of this ingredient with behavioural activation, an effective component in CBT for depression (Ekers, 2014) have already been made, encouraging depressed people to reconnect with activities that provide positive reinforcement.

This active engagement however, does not always come easy. As service users and staff reported in this study, it can generate fear: the fear of movement or kinesiophobia (Shim et al 2017) or, in the case of the visual work, the fear of the 'blank page' (Chilton 2007), both of which were raised by service users and staff in this case. It is possible that the combination of art forms within sessions can meet different preferences for arts media as reported by service users and the general public in recent studies (Millard et al 2021). Combining art forms can also, as Natalie Rogers (1997) argued, offer new and useful perspectives into the issues explored.

The use of different art forms in the workshops in this study also led the service users to highlight the value of acquiring practical skills in arts making processes. As some participants attended arts and crafts groups, they asked questions about the use of gesturing, posturing and movement at the same time as appreciating the value of learning how to use different arts media. Arts psychotherapists have long debated the difference between teaching and therapy and have drawn clear lines between these two practices (Karkou and Sanderson 2006; Karkou and Sanderson 2000). Differentiating between an emphasis on the process (therapy) and product (teaching) is an important distinction, drawing clear distinctions between the focus of attention in each case and associated professional boundaries. However, since in our emerging model we used multiple art forms to meet different needs and offer different perspectives, and given the value placed on gaining practical skills by service users, we adopted '**learning skills**' as a key ingredient in the Arts for the Blues model (Omylinska-Thurston et al 2020). This ingredient is not limited to learning skills that advance artistic repertoire and artistic vocabulary only, but also learning skills with direct psychological value such as breathing exercises to regulate anxiety, mindful practice to become aware of one's body-based feelings, grounding activities to bring someone to the here and now, imagination to create a safe space, artmaking to safely offload distressing feelings and so on. As argued previously (Omylinska-Thurston et al 2020), this ingredient is essential when working with depression as learning skills helps clients to challenge negative beliefs about themselves including self-criticism and sense of worthlessness which can lead to improved mood. Learning skills for emotional expression can also help with dealing with difficult emotional states also characteristic for working with depression (Gautam, 2020).

Both service users and staff placed value in '**developing relationships**', but acknowledged that the workshop was not long enough to do this. There is a substantial body of research identifying therapeutic relationship as a fundamental aspect of therapy facilitating change across therapeutic modalities (e.g., Norcross, 2011; Hubble et al, 2003). Developing relationships is also one of the key ingredients in the Arts for the Blues model (Omylinska-Thurston et al, 2020). In addition, service users already knew each other from their engagement in the 'arts and crafts' group taking place in the same setting; it seemed that a brief workshop could not add further knowledge and understanding about each other above what they already had. For staff, being talking psychotherapists who understood verbal one-to-one interactions, developing relationships through artistic group interactions may have not been of apparent value in relationship building. Either way, it was apparent that a longer intervention was needed that could allow for deeper relationship building. Within the Arts for the Blues intervention, we encourage clients to link with each other and with the group as we understand the importance of developing relationships especially when working with depression where there is tendency to withdraw socially. By developing relationships clients have an opportunity to challenge their negative beliefs (often linked with social interactions), feel supported as well as regain their vitality and engagement with life; all leading to improved mood (Gautam, 2020, Ekers, 2014)

It was also apparent for both service users and staff that creative engagement could allow for '**emotional expression**' in immediate ways which is also a key ingredient of the Arts for the Blues model (Omylinska-Thurston et al, 2020). Study participants considered ways in which emotional expression can take place safely, with service users urging for a more immediate engagement with the arts while staff debating how to balance risk-taking with safety. Staff, in particular, talked about the value of each of the art forms separately and in combination to contributing towards emotional expression. Feelings of sadness, guilt or anger as well as anxiety are very common in depression. Emotional expression is one of the key aspects in arts psychotherapies (Karkou and Sanderson, 2006). Offering safe and structured opportunity to access and express these feelings can lead to cathartic expression and a sense of experiential relief. From the person-centred perspective (Sanders et al, 2014) emotional expression can also lead to exploration of conditions of worth challenging negative self-image and a sense of dissatisfaction with life. Through this work clients can become more self-aware in terms of their needs and feelings which can lead to readjusting their lives in accordance of these leading to improved mood and sense of wellbeing.

Some other important points made by service users was the role of the environment in supporting creativity. Rollo May (1975) talked about pre-conditions for creative engagement including lack of judgement, a sense of safety, but also here the presence of a suitable physical environment. Although from the point of view of therapeutic models this seems a minor point, this issue should be considered in a creative intervention such as this, also highlighted as a therapeutic factor in creative psychotherapies by De Witte et al (2021). Working in public sector often involves

working in old and uncomfortable environments due to lack of funding and resources for updating furniture or physical spaces. Commonly old chairs and small spaces are uncomfortable to sit in for longer periods which can be difficult for clients especially with long term health conditions. Additionally, working in these environments can add to a sense of feeling unworthy and uncared for which can perpetuate feelings of low mood associated with depression.

Along with reports from over 60% of the participants in the survey of service users and members of the public who value creative methods in psychotherapy (Millard et al 2021), the service users participating in this study also championed the need for creative psychotherapies in the NHS. It can be argued that the group of service users as a self-selected convenient sample that were already attendees in an arts and craft group could skew the findings presented here. However, it is also possible that their prior experience allowed them to have an informed and clear voice about the value of creative psychotherapies in the NHS, leading to diverse provision as a way of meeting the needs of service users such as those included in the study. This will also have implication across the whole mental health sector including other contexts such as charities and schools as there is very limited provision of arts psychotherapies in general.

Staff participating in this study were also interested and well informed, offering useful suggestions and ideas of how to improve the therapeutic framing, the goals and the intervention itself. By shaping the intervention alongside the service users, the resulting model offers confidence that it is relevant to them. Their contribution also offers confidence that therapists can be trained in the Arts for the Blues model when it is ready for spreading and adoption (AHSN 2021).

Finally, the quantitative measures used were generally accepted and completed by 12 out of the 13 participants. PHQ9 and GAD7 are used routinely in IAPT services and as such, despite associated limitations, they were easily accepted. Furthermore, the WHO-5, a short questionnaire of 5 items only, offered an opportunity for participants to measure positive symptoms relating to wellbeing, highlighting the role of creative methods to not simply alleviate ill health but to also improve wellbeing, an important shift in thinking that has already been argued in relevant literature (Bech et al 2003). However, all three measures were designed to capture change over a period of time and as such they informed our question around acceptability and sensitivity only, whilst preparing to run a 12-week intervention. For example, the fact that almost all participants completed these measures (one service user needed to leave earlier than originally planned and did not complete the post workshop measures) and they regarded them easy to complete, suggests that the measures were acceptable. The numerical improvement we saw in most of these self-completed measures post workshop (particularly through the WHO-5 for service users and the GAD7 for staff) suggest that the measures were sensitive enough to identify change and thus suitable for a longer group intervention.

It should be noted that both the PANAS and the personal goal attainment measure seemed to be important measures since they both captured positive/negative affect and goal attainment respectively at the time of their completion. PANAS for example, showed that there was increase of the positive feelings post workshop and a decrease in negative feelings at the same time for service users and even more so for members of staff. PANAS was also regarded as being particularly meaningful given the one-off nature of the workshop, but also because of the fresh perspective it offered.

Finally, staff commented on the use of goals, for which they also indicated a substantial change post workshop. This, along with the other measures used, were seen by staff as concrete references to changing patterns which may otherwise go unnoticed by people with depression.

Finally, the staff's own high change scores in these measures (i.e., decrease of anxiety and negative feelings in particular) suggested that this creative intervention was useful for them too. Although the workshop was offered to staff as an experience of what service users could go through, we considered an additional line of inquiry opening up an adapted version of the Arts for the Blues to NHS staff affected by the Covid-19 pandemic. It became important that their wellbeing was also attended to not only within IAPT services but in the NHS in general (Karkou et al in press), speaking directly to the BACP Ethical Framework that regards self-care as a professional imperative.

## **Conclusions**

The small feasibility study reported in this paper provided useful and largely positive feedback from both service users and staff in the use of creative methods in psychotherapy within the context of IAPT services. The helpful factors explored in this study which transformed into key ingredients of the Arts for the Blues intervention included active engagement, learning skills, developing relationships and expressing emotions which constituted four out of eight ingredients of the model. Further four ingredients of Arts for the Blues such as processing at the deeper level, gaining understanding, experimenting with different ways of being and integrating useful material were also identified and included in the model (Omylinska-Thurston et al, 2020; Thurston et al, under review).

The measures used had limitation due to the short duration of one-off creative workshops, but still captured change, suggesting that the tools were sensitive enough to do so. However, a longer intervention was needed to generate more meaningful numerical data relating to the capacity of the intervention to positively change scores on depression, anxiety, wellbeing and positive/negative affect. In all cases, the measures appeared easy to complete, and thus acceptable, capturing changes both in the decrease of negative symptoms as well as in the increase of positive feelings.

The study contributed to the development of the Arts for the Blues intervention for people with depression prior to establishing its effectiveness through a pilot RCT as recommended by the MRC (2006) recommendations for research in complex interventions. However, other unexpected results also transpired. For example, staff also appeared to benefit from the workshop leading to further research in this direction, which would complement current research on self-care for mental health professionals that often focuses on individual interventions. A group intervention would add a level of social support and encourage self-care amongst busy professionals.

On the whole, the creative workshop was received very well, suggesting that the Arts for the Blues, a creative intervention that is rooted in primary care and shaped for people with depression and staff from IAPT services, may be a suitable psychological intervention.

As one of the participants put it:

"I definitely felt a change which enthused me, but it was not in my awareness/ how I was thinking about change" (P4, 414). And later she added: "even though the world did not change, I feel better about facing it" (P4, 530).

If this is an outcome from a one-off workshop, it is highly likely that a full psychological intervention can contribute to some important changes on the lives of people with depression and worthy of future development and research.

## References

Aalbers S, Fusar-Poli L, Freeman RE, Spreen M, Ket JCF, Vink AC, Maratos A, Crawford M, Chen XJ, Gold C. (2017). Music therapy for depression. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD004517. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004517.pub3. Accessed 23 April 2022.

The Academic Health Science Networks (AHSN) (2021). Review of spread and adoption approaches across the AHSN Network  
[https://www.ahsnnetwork.com/app/uploads/2021/05/Spread-and-adoption-reviewfinal.pdf?utm\\_source=website&utm\\_medium=news%20story&utm\\_campaign=Spread%20and%20adoption%20report](https://www.ahsnnetwork.com/app/uploads/2021/05/Spread-and-adoption-reviewfinal.pdf?utm_source=website&utm_medium=news%20story&utm_campaign=Spread%20and%20adoption%20report)

Anderson, J., O'Moore, K., Faraj, M., & Proudfoot, J. (2019). Stepped care mental health service in Australian primary care: codesign and feasibility study. *Australian Health Review*, 44(6), 873-879.

Bech, P., Olsen, L. R., Kjoller, M., & Rasmussen, N. K. (2003). Measuring well-being rather than the absence of distress symptoms: a comparison of the SF-36 Mental Health subscale and the WHO-Five well-being scale. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research*, 12(2), 85-91.

Braun V & Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101, DOI: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.

Chilton, G. (2007). Altered books in art therapy with adolescents. *Art Therapy*, 24(2), 59-63.

Cooper, M., & McLeod, J. (2011). Person-centered therapy: A pluralistic perspective. *Person-Centered & Experiential Psychotherapies*, 10(3), 210-223.

Creswell, J. W., Fetters, M. D., & Ivankova, N. V. (2004). Designing a mixed methods study in primary care. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 2(1), 7-12.

<https://www.annfammed.org/content/2/1/7.short>

De Witte, M, Orkibi H, Zarate R, Karkou V, Sajnani S, Malhotra B, Ho RTH, Kaimal G, Baker FA and Koch SC (2021). From Therapeutic Factors to Mechanisms of Change in the Creative Arts Therapies: A Scoping Review, *Frontiers in Psychology*.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.678397>

Ekers D, Webster L, Van Straten A, Cuijpers P, Richards D, Gilbody S (2014) Behavioural Activation for Depression; An Update of Meta-Analysis of Effectiveness and Sub Group Analysis. *PLoS ONE* 9(6): e100100. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100100>

Gautam, M., Tripathi, A., Deshmukh, D., & Gaur, M. (2020). Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Depression. *Indian journal of psychiatry*, 62(Suppl 2), S223–S229.

[https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry\\_772\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_772_19)

Gureje, O., Abdulmalik, J., Kola, L., Musa, E., Yasamy, M. T., & Adebayo, K. (2015). Integrating mental health into primary care in Nigeria: report of a demonstration project using the mental health gap action programme intervention guide. *BMC health services research*, 15(1), 1-8.

Haire, N., & MacDonald, R. (2021). Understanding how humour enables contact in music therapy relationships with persons living with dementia: A phenomenological arts-based reflexive study. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, 74, 101784.

Hubble, M.A, Duncan, B.L., Miller, S. (2003) *The heart and soul of change* Washington DC: American Psychological Association.

Karkou V. and Sanderson P. (2000). Dance Movement Therapy in UK Education, *Research in Dance Education*, 1, 1, 69-85.

Karkou V and Sanderson P (2006). *Arts therapies: A research-based map of the field*. Edinburgh: Elsevier.

Karkou, V, Aithal S., Zubala, A, Meekums, B (2019). Effectiveness of Dance Movement Therapy in the Treatment of Adults with Depression: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analyses, *Frontiers in Psychology*. 10, 936. <https://doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00936>

Karkou V, Sajnani N, Baker F A and Abdullah A (in press). Global Contributions of the Arts Therapies and the Arts to Health and Well-Being During the Pandemic: Embracing New Ways of Caring. In Betts D and Huet V (eds) *Bridging the Creative Arts Therapies and Arts in Health: Toward Inspirational Practice*. London: Jessica Kingsley.

Karkou V, Omylinska-Thurston J, Thurston S, Nair K, Haslam, S, Lewis J, ... Dubrow-Marshall L (2022) Arts for the Blues: Project background. Retrieved: 01 01 2022 from: <https://artsfortheblues.com/project-background>

Koch, S. C., Morlinghaus, K., & Fuchs, T. (2007). The joy dance: Specific effects of a single dance intervention on psychiatric patients with depression. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, 34(4), 340-349.

May R (1975). *The Courage to create*. New York: Norton.

Medical Research Council (MRC) (2006). Developing and evaluating complex interventions. Retrieved: 01 01 2022 from: <https://mrc.ukri.org/documents/pdf/complex-interventions-guidance/>

Medical Research Council (MRC) and National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (2021). Framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions: gap analysis, workshop and consultation-informed update. Retrieved 01 01 2022 from: <https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta/hta25570#/full-report>

Meekums B, Karkou V, Nelson EA. (2015). Dance movement therapy for depression. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Issue 2. Art. No.: CD009895. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009895.pub2. Accessed 23 April 2022.

Millard E, Medicott E, Cardona J, Priebe S, & Carr C (2021). Preferences for group arts therapies: a cross-sectional survey of mental health patients and the general population. *BMJ Open*, 11: e051173. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-051173.

Morgan, D. L., & Krueger, R. A. (1998). *The focus group guidebook*. Sage.

National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2022) *Depression . How common is it?* <https://cks.nice.org.uk/topics/depression/background-information/prevalence/>  
Accessed on 04<sup>th</sup> January 2022

NHS digital (2021). Psychological Therapies, Annual report on the use of IAPT services, 2020-21. Retrieved: 31 12 2021. Available: <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/psychological-therapies-annual-reports-on-the-use-of-iapt-services/annual-report-2020-21>

NHS (2022) *Symptoms – Clinical Depression* <https://www.nhs.uk/mental-health/conditions/clinical-depression/symptoms/> Accessed 6th January 2022

Norcross, J. (Ed) (2011) *Psychotherapy relationships that work*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Omylinska-Thurston, J. Walton, P. McMeekin, A. & Proctor, G. (2019) 'Client perceptions of unhelpful aspects of CBT within IAPT serving an inner city/deprived area' *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research* <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/capr.12249>

Omylinska-Thurston, J, Karkou, V, Parson A, Dudley-Swarbrick I, Haslam S, Lewis J Nair K, Dubrow-Marshall L, Thurston, S. (2020). Arts for the Blues: The development of a new evidence-based creative group psychotherapy for depression. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 21(3), 597-607. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12373>

Orsmond, G. I., & Cohn, E. S. (2015). The distinctive features of a feasibility study: objectives and guiding questions. *OTJR: occupation, participation and health*, 35(3), 169-177.

Parsons, A, Omylinska-Thurston, J, Karkou, V, Harlow J, Haslam S, Hobson, J Nair K, Dubrow-Marshall L, Thurston, S. Griffin, J (2019) Arts for the Blues – A New Creative Psychological Therapy for Depression. *British Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 48(1) 5-20 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069885.2019.1633459>.

Rogers N (1997). *The Creative connection: Expressive arts as healing*. Los Altos: Science and Behavior Books.

Ross M (2012). *Cultivating the arts in education and therapy*. London: RoutledgeSanders, P. & Hill, A. (2014) *Counselling for depression*. London: Sage

Shim, M., Johnson, R. B., Gasson, S., Goodill, S., Jermyn, R., & Bradt, J. (2017). A model of dance/movement therapy for resilience-building in people living with chronic pain. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 9, 27-40.

Siriwardhana, C., Adikari, A., Jayaweera, K., Abeyrathna, B., & Sumathipala, A. (2016). Integrating mental health into primary care for post-conflict populations: a pilot study. *International journal of mental health systems*, 10(1), 1-12.

Wilkinson, S. (1998). Focus group methodology: a review. *International journal of social research methodology*, 1(3), 181-203.

World Health Organisation (WHO) (2021) *Depression. Key Facts* <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression> Accessed on 04th January 2021.

Yalom, I. D. (1983). *Inpatient group psychotherapy*. Basic Books.